FILED

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

NOV 19 2007

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

CATHY A. CATTERSON, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

THUAN HUY HA,

Defendant,

v.

TIEN HUU NGUYEN,

Third-party-petitioner - Appellant.

No. 07-50103

D.C. No. CR-03-00029-AHS

MEMORANDUM*

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Central District of California Alicemarie H. Stotler, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted November 13, 2007**

Before: McKEOWN, TALLMAN and CLIFTON, Circuit Judges.

^{*} This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

^{**} The panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

07-50103

Appellant Tien Huu Nguyen challenges the district court's denial of his motion pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 60(b) to vacate the district court's Final Orders of Forfeiture.

The district court did not abuse its discretion in refusing to reopen the forfeiture action. A review of the record and the opening brief indicates that appellant does not challenge the district court's determination that appellant had no meritorious claim to warrant reopening. Appellant does not have standing to raise the argument that the district court violated defendant Thuan Huy Ha's due process rights. *See, e.g., Barrows v. Jackson*, 346 U.S. 249, 255 (1953). The questions raised in this appeal are so insubstantial as not to require further argument. *See United States v. Hooton*, 693 F.2d 857, 858 (9th Cir. 1982) (per curiam) (stating standard).

Accordingly, we summarily affirm the district court's judgment.

All pending motions are denied as moot.

AFFIRMED.